#### **Appendix 1 JBI Critical Appraisal Tools**

### JBI CRITICAL APPRAISAL CHECKLIST FOR COHORT STUDIES

Review	er <b>KR</b>	Date	2	30/03/2024		
Author_	Usta et al	Year_	2015	_ Recor	d Number_	Study 1
			Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1.	Were the two groups similar and recruited from same population?	n the	X			
2.	Were the exposures measured similarly to assign people to both exposed and unexposed groups		X			
3.	Was the exposure measured in a valid and relia way?	ble	X			
4.	Were confounding factors identified?		X			
5.	Were strategies to deal with confounding facto stated?	rs	X			
6.	Were the groups/participants free of the outco at the start of the study (or at the moment of exposure)?	me	X			
7.	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and rel way?	liable	X			
8.	Was the follow up time reported and sufficient be long enough for outcomes to occur?	to	X			
9.	Was follow up complete, and if not, were the reasons to loss to follow up described and expl	ored?	X			
10.	Were strategies to address incomplete follow u utilized?	ір				X
11.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?		X			
	appraisal: Include X Exclude	furthe	r info			
Overall a	appraisal: Include 🛛 Exclude 🗌 Seek	furthe		]		

© JBI, 2020. All rights reserved. JBI grants use of these tools for research purposes only. All other enquiries should be sent to jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au.

Review	erD	ate_		30/03/2	2024	
Author <u></u>	<b>Usta et al</b> Ye	ar <b>2</b>	<b>2015</b> Yes	Recor	d Number_ Unclear	Study 1  Not applicable
1.	Were the two groups similar and recruited from the same population?	he	X			
2.	Were the exposures measured similarly to assign people to both exposed and unexposed groups?		X			
3.	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	9	X			
4.	Were confounding factors identified?		X			
5.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?		X			
6.	Were the groups/participants free of the outcome at the start of the study (or at the moment of exposure)?	9	X			
7.	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	ole	X			
8.	Was the follow up time reported and sufficient to be long enough for outcomes to occur?		X			
9.	Was follow up complete, and if not, were the reasons to loss to follow up described and explore	ed?	X			
10.	Were strategies to address incomplete follow up utilized?					X
11.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?		X			
Overall a	appraisal: Include 🗶 Exclude 🗌 Seek fu	rther	info [			
Comme	nts (Including reason for exclusion)					

© JBI, 2020. All rights reserved. JBI grants use of these tools for research purposes only. All other enquiries should be sent to <a href="mailto:jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au">jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au</a>.

Reviewe	er <b>KR</b>	Date		30/03/2	2024	
Author_	Siddiqui et al Yo	ear_	2019	Recor	d Number_	Study 2
			Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1.	Were the two groups similar and recruited from same population?	he	X			
2.	Were the exposures measured similarly to assign people to both exposed and unexposed groups?		X			
3.	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	е	X			
4.	Were confounding factors identified?				X	
5.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?					X
6.	Were the groups/participants free of the outcome at the start of the study (or at the moment of exposure)?	е	X			
7.	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and relia way?	ble	X			
8.	Was the follow up time reported and sufficient to be long enough for outcomes to occur?	)	X			
9.	Was follow up complete, and if not, were the reasons to loss to follow up described and explor	ed?	X			
10.	Were strategies to address incomplete follow up utilized?					X
11.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?					X
Overall a	appraisal: Include 🛛 Exclude 🗌 Seek fu	ırthe	r info 🛚			
Commer	nts (Including reason for exclusion)					

© JBI, 2020. All rights reserved. JBI grants use of these tools for research purposes only. All other enquiries should be sent to jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au.

Review	er <b>SMK</b> Da	te	30/03/2024	
Author_	Siddiqui et al Year	2019	Record Number	Study 2
		Yes	No Unclear	Not applicable
1.	Were the two groups similar and recruited from the same population?	X		
2.	Were the exposures measured similarly to assign people to both exposed and unexposed groups?	X		
3.	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	X		
4.	Were confounding factors identified?			
5.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?			X
6.	Were the groups/participants free of the outcome at the start of the study (or at the moment of exposure)?	X		
7.	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	X		
8.	Was the follow up time reported and sufficient to be long enough for outcomes to occur?	X		
9.	Was follow up complete, and if not, were the reasons to loss to follow up described and explored	· <b>X</b>		
10.	Were strategies to address incomplete follow up utilized?			X
11.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?			X
Overall a	appraisal: Include 🛛 Exclude 🗌 Seek furth	ner info [		
Commer	nts (Including reason for exclusion)			

© JBI, 2020. All rights reserved. JBI grants use of these tools for research purposes only. All other enquiries should be sent to jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au.

Reviewer	KR	Date	e	30/03	/2024	
Author	Paruk et al	Year_	2013	Reco	ord Numbe	Study 3
			Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
• Were there series?	e clear criteria for inclusion in the ca	se	X			
	ndition measured in a standard, reli participants included in the case ser		X			
	methods used for identification of t or all participants included in the cas		X			
<ul> <li>Did the cas participants</li> </ul>	e series have consecutive inclusion of s?	of	X			
Did the cas participants	e series have complete inclusion of s?		X			
	clear reporting of the demographics s in the study?	of the	X			
Was there of participants	clear reporting of clinical informatio s?	n of the	X			
• Were the o	outcomes or follow up results of case orted?	es			X	
	clear reporting of the presenting c(s) demographic information?		X			
• Was statist	ical analysis appropriate?		X			
Overall appra	isal: Include X Exclude   ncluding reason for exclusion)	Seek furth	ner info			

© JBI, 2020. All rights reserved. JBI grants use of these tools for research purposes only. All other enquiries should be sent to jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au.

Reviewer	SMK	Date	e	30/03/2024		
Author	Paruk et al	Year_	2013	Rec	ord Number	Study 3
			Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
• Were there series?	e clear criteria for inclusion in the case		X			
	ndition measured in a standard, relial participants included in the case serie		X			
	methods used for identification of the or all participants included in the case		X			
<ul> <li>Did the cas participant</li> </ul>	e series have consecutive inclusion of s?		X			
Did the cas participant	e series have complete inclusion of s?		X			
	clear reporting of the demographics o s in the study?	fthe	X			
Was there participant	clear reporting of clinical information s?	of the	X			
Were the o clearly report	outcomes or follow up results of cases orted?			X		
	clear reporting of the presenting c(s) demographic information?		X			
• Was statist	ical analysis appropriate?		X			
Overall appra	isal: Include X Exclude  ncluding reason for exclusion)	Seek furth	ner info			

© JBI, 2020. All rights reserved. JBI grants use of these tools for research purposes only. All other enquiries should be sent to <a href="mailto:jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au">jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au</a>.

Year <b>2018</b> Yes		ord Number	Study 4
Yes			
	No	Unclear	Not applicable
X			
le ?			
X			
X			
X			
the X			
of the			
	X		
	X		
X			
eek further info			
	e X X X X the X f the X	e	e

© JBI, 2020. All rights reserved. JBI grants use of these tools for research purposes only. All other enquiries should be sent to <a href="mailto:jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au">jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au</a>.

Reviewer	SMK	Date	e	30/03/2024		
Author	Mallikarjuna et al	_Year_	2018	Rec	ord Number <u>.</u>	Study 4
			Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
• Were ther series?	e clear criteria for inclusion in the case		X			
	ondition measured in a standard, reliable participants included in the case series?		X			
	I methods used for identification of the for all participants included in the case		X			
• Did the ca participan	se series have consecutive inclusion of ts?		X			
• Did the ca participan	se series have complete inclusion of ts?		X			
	clear reporting of the demographics of th ts in the study?	ne	X			
Was there participan	clear reporting of clinical information of t ts?	the	X			
• Were the clearly rep	outcomes or follow up results of cases orted?			X		
	clear reporting of the presenting ic(s) demographic information?			X		
• Was statis	tical analysis appropriate?		X			
	nisal: Include X Exclude	ek furth	ner info			

© JBI, 2020. All rights reserved. JBI grants use of these tools for research purposes only. All other enquiries should be sent to <a href="mailto:jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au">jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au</a>.

ReviewerKR	Date	e	30/03/2024		
AuthorEI-Hady et al	Year_	2018	Reco	ord Number	Study 5
		Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
<ul> <li>Were there clear criteria for inclusion in the case series?</li> </ul>		X			
Was the condition measured in a standard, reliable way for all participants included in the case series.		X			
<ul> <li>Were valid methods used for identification of the condition for all participants included in the case series?</li> </ul>		X			
<ul> <li>Did the case series have consecutive inclusion of participants?</li> </ul>				X	
<ul> <li>Did the case series have complete inclusion of participants?</li> </ul>			X		
<ul> <li>Was there clear reporting of the demographics o participants in the study?</li> </ul>	fthe	X			
Was there clear reporting of clinical information participants?	of the	X			
• Were the outcomes or follow up results of cases clearly reported?		X			
<ul> <li>Was there clear reporting of the presenting site(s)/clinic(s) demographic information?</li> </ul>		X			
Was statistical analysis appropriate?		X			
Overall appraisal: Include X Exclude :	Seek furth	ner info			

© JBI, 2020. All rights reserved. JBI grants use of these tools for research purposes only. All other enquiries should be sent to <a href="mailto:jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au">jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au</a>.

Reviewer <b>SMK</b>	Date		30/03	/2024	
AuthorEI-Hady et al	_Year	2018	Reco	ord Number_	Study 5
		Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
Were there clear criteria for inclusion in the case series?		X			
<ul> <li>Was the condition measured in a standard, reliable way for all participants included in the case series?</li> </ul>		X			
<ul> <li>Were valid methods used for identification of the condition for all participants included in the case series?</li> </ul>		X			
<ul> <li>Did the case series have consecutive inclusion of participants?</li> </ul>				X	
<ul> <li>Did the case series have complete inclusion of participants?</li> </ul>			X		
<ul> <li>Was there clear reporting of the demographics of the participants in the study?</li> </ul>	ie	X			
Was there clear reporting of clinical information of t participants?	:he	X			
Were the outcomes or follow up results of cases clearly reported?		X			
<ul> <li>Was there clear reporting of the presenting site(s)/clinic(s) demographic information?</li> </ul>		X			
Was statistical analysis appropriate?		X			
Overall appraisal: Include X Exclude See	k furthe	er info			

© JBI, 2020. All rights reserved. JBI grants use of these tools for research purposes only. All other enquiries should be sent to <a href="mailto:jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au">jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au</a>.

Reviewer	KR	Date	e	30/03/2024		
Author	Sen et al	Year_	2019	Rec	ord Number_	Study 6
			Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
• Were there series?	e clear criteria for inclusion in the case		X			
	ndition measured in a standard, reliabl participants included in the case series		X			
	methods used for identification of the or all participants included in the case		X			
<ul> <li>Did the cas participant</li> </ul>	e series have consecutive inclusion of s?		X			
Did the cas participant	e series have complete inclusion of s?		X			
	clear reporting of the demographics of s in the study?	the	X			
Was there participant	clear reporting of clinical information o s?	f the	X			
Were the o clearly report	outcomes or follow up results of cases orted?		X			
	clear reporting of the presenting c(s) demographic information?		X			
• Was statist	ical analysis appropriate?		X			
Overall appra	isal: Include X Exclude S	eek furth	ner info			

© JBI, 2020. All rights reserved. JBI grants use of these tools for research purposes only. All other enquiries should be sent to <a href="mailto:jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au">jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au</a>.

Reviewer	SMK	Date	e	30/03/2024		
Author	Sen et al	Year_	2019	Rec	ord Number <sub>-</sub>	Study 6
			Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
• Were there series?	e clear criteria for inclusion in the case		X			
	ndition measured in a standard, reliable participants included in the case series?		X			
	methods used for identification of the or all participants included in the case		X			
<ul> <li>Did the cas participant</li> </ul>	e series have consecutive inclusion of s?		X			
<ul> <li>Did the cas participant</li> </ul>	e series have complete inclusion of s?		X			
	clear reporting of the demographics of the study?	he	X			
<ul> <li>Was there participant</li> </ul>	clear reporting of clinical information of s?	the	X			
Were the conclearly report	outcomes or follow up results of cases orted?		X			
	clear reporting of the presenting c(s) demographic information?		X			
• Was statist	ical analysis appropriate?		X			
Overall appra	isal: Include X Exclude  Seconcluding reason for exclusion)	ek furth	ner info			

© JBI, 2020. All rights reserved. JBI grants use of these tools for research purposes only. All other enquiries should be sent to <a href="mailto:jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au">jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au</a>.

ReviewerKR	Date	e	30/03/2024		
AuthorRawat et al	Year_	2022	Rec	ord Number	Study 7
		Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
• Were there clear criteria for inclusion in the case series?		X			
Was the condition measured in a standard, reliable way for all participants included in the case series?		X			
<ul> <li>Were valid methods used for identification of the condition for all participants included in the case series?</li> </ul>		X			
<ul> <li>Did the case series have consecutive inclusion of participants?</li> </ul>		X			
<ul> <li>Did the case series have complete inclusion of participants?</li> </ul>			X		
<ul> <li>Was there clear reporting of the demographics of the participants in the study?</li> </ul>		X			
<ul> <li>Was there clear reporting of clinical information of th participants?</li> </ul>	е	X			
<ul> <li>Were the outcomes or follow up results of cases clearly reported?</li> </ul>		X			
<ul> <li>Was there clear reporting of the presenting site(s)/clinic(s) demographic information?</li> </ul>		X			
Was statistical analysis appropriate?		X			
Overall appraisal: Include X Exclude	furth	er info			

© JBI, 2020. All rights reserved. JBI grants use of these tools for research purposes only. All other enquiries should be sent to <a href="mailto:jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au">jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au</a>.

ReviewerSMK	Date	e	30/03/2024		
AuthorRawat et al	Year_	2022	Rec	ord Number	Study 7
		Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
<ul> <li>Were there clear criteria for inclusion in the case series?</li> </ul>		X			
Was the condition measured in a standard, reliable way for all participants included in the case series?		X			
<ul> <li>Were valid methods used for identification of the condition for all participants included in the case series?</li> </ul>		X			
<ul> <li>Did the case series have consecutive inclusion of participants?</li> </ul>		X			
<ul> <li>Did the case series have complete inclusion of participants?</li> </ul>			X		
<ul> <li>Was there clear reporting of the demographics of t participants in the study?</li> </ul>	he	X			
<ul> <li>Was there clear reporting of clinical information of participants?</li> </ul>	the	X			
• Were the outcomes or follow up results of cases clearly reported?		X			
<ul> <li>Was there clear reporting of the presenting site(s)/clinic(s) demographic information?</li> </ul>		X			
Was statistical analysis appropriate?		X			
Overall appraisal: Include X Exclude Se  Comments (Including reason for exclusion)	ek furth	ner info			

© JBI, 2020. All rights reserved. JBI grants use of these tools for research purposes only. All other enquiries should be sent to jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au.

Reviewer KR Date 30/03/2024							
Author_	Zitouni et al Year	202	1 Record Numbe	r Study 8			
		Yes	No Unclear	Not applicable			
1.	Were the two groups similar and recruited from the same population?	X					
2.	Were the exposures measured similarly to assign people to both exposed and unexposed groups?	X					
3.	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	X					
4.	Were confounding factors identified?	X					
5.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	X					
6.	Were the groups/participants free of the outcome at the start of the study (or at the moment of exposure)?	X					
7.	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	X					
8.	Was the follow up time reported and sufficient to be long enough for outcomes to occur?	X					
9.	Was follow up complete, and if not, were the reasons to loss to follow up described and explored	, <b>X</b>					
10.	Were strategies to address incomplete follow up utilized?			X			
11.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	X					
Overall appraisal: Include X Exclude Seek further info							
Comme	Comments (Including reason for exclusion)						

© JBI, 2020. All rights reserved. JBI grants use of these tools for research purposes only. All other enquiries should be sent to <a href="mailto:jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au">jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au</a>.

Review	er <b>SMK</b> Date		30/03/2	024	
Author_	Zitouni et al Year	2021	Recor	d Number_	Study 8
		Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1.	Were the two groups similar and recruited from the same population?	X			
2.	Were the exposures measured similarly to assign people to both exposed and unexposed groups?	X			
3.	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	X			
4.	Were confounding factors identified?	X			
5.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	X			
6.	Were the groups/participants free of the outcome at the start of the study (or at the moment of exposure)?	X			
7.	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	X			
8.	Was the follow up time reported and sufficient to be long enough for outcomes to occur?	X			
9.	Was follow up complete, and if not, were the reasons to loss to follow up described and explored?	X			
10.	Were strategies to address incomplete follow up utilized?				X
11.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	X			
Overall appraisal: Include					

© JBI, 2020. All rights reserved. JBI grants use of these tools for research purposes only. All other enquiries should be sent to <a href="mailto:jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au">jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au</a>.

Reviewer	KR	Date	e	30/03/2024		
Author	Van Wyngaard et al	Year_	2018	Rec	ord Number <sub>-</sub>	Study 9
			Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
• Were there series?	e clear criteria for inclusion in the cas	se	X			
	ndition measured in a standard, relia participants included in the case seri		X			
	methods used for identification of tl or all participants included in the cas		X			
Did the cas participants	e series have consecutive inclusion c s?	of	X			
Did the cas participant:	e series have complete inclusion of s?		X			
	clear reporting of the demographics s in the study?	of the	X			
• Was there participant	clear reporting of clinical informations?	n of the	X			
• Were the o	outcomes or follow up results of case orted?	s		X		
	clear reporting of the presenting c(s) demographic information?		X			
• Was statist	ical analysis appropriate?		X			
Overall appra Comments (Ir	isal: Include X Exclude C	Seek furth	ner info			

© JBI, 2020. All rights reserved. JBI grants use of these tools for research purposes only. All other enquiries should be sent to <a href="mailto:jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au">jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au</a>.

Reviewer	SMK	Date	e	30/03	3/2024	
Author	Van Wyngaard et al	Year_	2018	Rec	ord Number <sub>-</sub>	Study 9
			Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
• Were ther series?	e clear criteria for inclusion in the case		X			
	ondition measured in a standard, reliable participants included in the case series?		X			
	d methods used for identification of the for all participants included in the case		X			
Did the call     participan	se series have consecutive inclusion of ts?		X			
• Did the ca	se series have complete inclusion of ts?		X			
	clear reporting of the demographics of t ts in the study?	the	X			
• Was there participan	clear reporting of clinical information of ts?	the	X			
• Were the clearly rep	outcomes or follow up results of cases ported?			X		
	clear reporting of the presenting ic(s) demographic information?		X			
• Was statis	tical analysis appropriate?		X			
	aisal: Include X Exclude	ek furth	ner info			

© JBI, 2020. All rights reserved. JBI grants use of these tools for research purposes only. All other enquiries should be sent to <a href="mailto:jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au">jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au</a>.

Review	er <b>KR</b>	Date		30/03/2	024			
Author_	MacRobert et al	_Year_	2021	_ Recor	d Number_	Study 10		
			Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable		
1.	Were the two groups similar and recruited from same population?	m the	X					
2.	Were the exposures measured similarly to assi people to both exposed and unexposed groups	_	X					
3.	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliavay?	able	X					
4.	Were confounding factors identified?		X					
5.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factorstated?	ors	X					
6.	Were the groups/participants free of the outco at the start of the study (or at the moment of exposure)?	ome	X					
7.	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reway?	eliable	X					
8.	Was the follow up time reported and sufficient be long enough for outcomes to occur?	t to		X				
9.	Was follow up complete, and if not, were the reasons to loss to follow up described and exp	lored?		X				
10.	Were strategies to address incomplete follow utilized?	up		X				
11.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?		X					
Overall appraisal: Include X Exclude Seek further info								
Comme	Comments (Including reason for exclusion)							

© JBI, 2020. All rights reserved. JBI grants use of these tools for research purposes only. All other enquiries should be sent to <a href="mailto:jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au">jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au</a>.

Reviewe	viewerSMKDate30/03/2024						
Author_	MacRobert et al	Year_	2021	_ Recor	d Number_	Study 10	
			Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable	
1.	Were the two groups similar and recruited from same population?	n the	X				
2.	Were the exposures measured similarly to assig people to both exposed and unexposed groups		X				
3.	Was the exposure measured in a valid and relia way?	ble	X				
4.	Were confounding factors identified?		X				
5.	Were strategies to deal with confounding facto stated?	rs	X				
6.	Were the groups/participants free of the outco at the start of the study (or at the moment of exposure)?	me	X				
7.	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and rel way?	liable	X				
8.	Was the follow up time reported and sufficient be long enough for outcomes to occur?	to		X			
9.	Was follow up complete, and if not, were the reasons to loss to follow up described and explo	ored?		X			
10.	Were strategies to address incomplete follow u utilized?	ір		X			
11.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?		X				
Overall a	Overall appraisal: Include 🛛 Exclude 🔲 Seek further info						
Commer	nts (Including reason for exclusion)						

© JBI, 2020. All rights reserved. JBI grants use of these tools for research purposes only. All other enquiries should be sent to <a href="mailto:jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au">jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au</a>.

## JBI CRITICAL APPRAISAL CHECKLIST FOR CASE REPORTS

Rev	viewer	KR	Date	. 3	0/03/20	)24	
Aut	thor	Olatoke et al	_Year_	2013	Record	Number_	Study 11
				Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1.	Were pa	atient's demographic characteristics clearly delegated?	/	X			
2.	Was the	e patient's history clearly described and pro- eline?	esented	X			
3.		ecurrent clinical condition of the patient of ation clearly described?	n	X			
4.		agnostic tests or assessment methods and clearly described?	the	X			
5.	Was the	intervention(s) or treatment procedure(s	) clearly	<b>/</b> X			
6.	Was the	post-intervention clinical condition clearled?	у	X			
7.		dverse events (harms) or unanticipated events and described?	ents	X			
8.	Does the	e case report provide takeaway lessons?		X			
	Overall appraisal: Include 🗶 Exclude 🗆 Seek further info 🗆  Comments (Including reason for exclusion)						

© JBI, 2020. All rights reserved. JBI grants use of these tools for research purposes only. All other enquiries should be sent to <a href="mailto:jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au">jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au</a>.

### JBI CRITICAL APPRAISAL CHECKLIST FOR CASE REPORTS

Re	viewer <b>SMK</b>	Date_	3	0/03/20	)24	
Au	thorOlatoke et al	Year	2013	Record	Number_	<u>Study 1</u> 1
			Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1.	Were patient's demographic characteristics clear described?	rly	X			
2.	Was the patient's history clearly described and p as a timeline?	resented	X			
3.	Was the current clinical condition of the patient presentation clearly described?	on	X			
4.	Were diagnostic tests or assessment methods an results clearly described?	d the	X			
5.	Was the intervention(s) or treatment procedure(described?	s) clearly	X			
6.	Was the post-intervention clinical condition clear described?	rly	X			
7.	Were adverse events (harms) or unanticipated e identified and described?	vents	X			
8.	Does the case report provide takeaway lessons?		X			
	Overall appraisal: Include X Exclude  Seek further info  Comments (Including reason for exclusion)					
-						

© JBI, 2020. All rights reserved. JBI grants use of these tools for research purposes only. All other enquiries should be sent to <a href="mailto:jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au">jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au</a>.

Reviewer	KR	Dat	e	30/03	/2024	
Author	Bombil et al	Year_	2018	Reco	ord Number	Study 12
			Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
• Were there series?	clear criteria for inclusion in the	case	X			
	ndition measured in a standard, participants included in the case		X			
	methods used for identification or all participants included in the		X			
Did the case     participants	e series have consecutive inclusions?	on of	X			
Did the case participants	e series have complete inclusion s?	of		X		
	clear reporting of the demograph s in the study?	nics of the	X			
Was there of participants	clear reporting of clinical informa s?	ation of the	X			
Were the o clearly report	utcomes or follow up results of corted?	cases		X		
	clear reporting of the presenting c(s) demographic information?		X			
• Was statist	ical analysis appropriate?		X			
Overall apprai	isal: Include X Exclude	Seek furtl	ner info			

© JBI, 2020. All rights reserved. JBI grants use of these tools for research purposes only. All other enquiries should be sent to <a href="mailto:jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au">jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au</a>.

ReviewerSMF	<b>C</b>	Date	e	30/03	/2024	
Author <b>Bombil</b>	et al	Year_	2018	Reco	ord Number_	Study 12
			Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
Were there clear criteria for series?	or inclusion in the case		X			
Was the condition measur way for all participants inc	·		X			
<ul> <li>Were valid methods used condition for all participan series?</li> </ul>			X			
<ul> <li>Did the case series have co participants?</li> </ul>	onsecutive inclusion of		X			
Did the case series have co participants?	omplete inclusion of			X		
<ul> <li>Was there clear reporting participants in the study?</li> </ul>	of the demographics of th	ne	X			
Was there clear reporting participants?	of clinical information of	the	X			
Were the outcomes or foll clearly reported?	ow up results of cases			X		
<ul> <li>Was there clear reporting site(s)/clinic(s) demograph</li> </ul>			X			
Was statistical analysis applications	propriate?		X			
Overall appraisal: Include  Comments (Including reason	Exclude See	ek furth	er info			

© JBI, 2020. All rights reserved. JBI grants use of these tools for research purposes only. All other enquiries should be sent to <a href="mailto:jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au">jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au</a>.

Review	er <b>KR</b>	Date		30/03/2	2024	
Author_	Yadav et al	Year	2019	_ Recor	d Number_	Study 13
			Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1.	Were the two groups similar and recruited fro same population?	om the	X			
2.	Were the exposures measured similarly to ass people to both exposed and unexposed group		X			
3.	Was the exposure measured in a valid and rel way?	iable	X			
4.	Were confounding factors identified?		X			
5.	Were strategies to deal with confounding fact stated?	tors	X			
6.	Were the groups/participants free of the outcome at the start of the study (or at the moment of exposure)?		X			
7.	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and r way?	eliable	X			
8.	Was the follow up time reported and sufficier be long enough for outcomes to occur?	nt to	X			
9.	Was follow up complete, and if not, were the reasons to loss to follow up described and exp		X			
10.	Were strategies to address incomplete follow utilized?	up	X			
11.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?		X			
Overall a	appraisal: Include 🛛 Exclude 🗌 See	ek furthe	rinfo 🗆	]		
Comme	nts (Including reason for exclusion)					

© JBI, 2020. All rights reserved. JBI grants use of these tools for research purposes only. All other enquiries should be sent to <a href="mailto:jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au">jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au</a>.

Review	er <b>SMK</b>	Date		30/03/2	2024	
Author_	Yadav et al Yo	ear_	2019	Recor	d Number_	Study 13
			Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1.	Were the two groups similar and recruited from t same population?	he	X			
2.	Were the exposures measured similarly to assign people to both exposed and unexposed groups?		X			
3.	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	е	X			
4.	Were confounding factors identified?		X			
5.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?		X			
6.	Were the groups/participants free of the outcome at the start of the study (or at the moment of exposure)?	е	X			
7.	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and relia way?	ble	X			
8.	Was the follow up time reported and sufficient to be long enough for outcomes to occur?	O	X			
9.	Was follow up complete, and if not, were the reasons to loss to follow up described and explor	ed?	X			
10.	Were strategies to address incomplete follow up utilized?		X			
11.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?		X			
Overall a	appraisal: Include 🛚 Exclude 🗖 Seek fu	ırthe	r info			
Comme	nts (Including reason for exclusion)					

© JBI, 2020. All rights reserved. JBI grants use of these tools for research purposes only. All other enquiries should be sent to <a href="mailto:jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au">jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au</a>.

Reviewer	KR	Date	e	30/03	/2024	
Author	Dahiya et al	Year_	2021	Rec	ord Numbe	Study 14
			Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
• Were there series?	e clear criteria for inclusion in the case		X			
	ondition measured in a standard, reliab participants included in the case serie		X			
	I methods used for identification of the for all participants included in the case		X			
Did the case     participant	se series have consecutive inclusion of ts?		X			
Did the case     participant	se series have complete inclusion of ts?			X		
	clear reporting of the demographics o ts in the study?	fthe	X			
Was there participant	clear reporting of clinical information ts?	of the	X			
• Were the o	outcomes or follow up results of cases orted?		X			
	clear reporting of the presenting ic(s) demographic information?		X			
• Was statis	tical analysis appropriate?		X			
	nisal: Include X Exclude C :	Seek furth	ner info			

© JBI, 2020. All rights reserved. JBI grants use of these tools for research purposes only. All other enquiries should be sent to <a href="mailto:jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au">jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au</a>.

Reviewer	SMK	Date	e	30/03/2024		
Author	Dahiya et al	Year_	2021	Reco	ord Number_	Study 14
			Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
<ul><li>Were there series?</li></ul>	clear criteria for inclusion in the ca	se	X			
	ndition measured in a standard, reli participants included in the case ser		X			
	methods used for identification of t or all participants included in the cas		X			
<ul> <li>Did the case participants</li> </ul>	e series have consecutive inclusion ( ;?	of	X			
<ul> <li>Did the case participants</li> </ul>	e series have complete inclusion of ??			X		
	clear reporting of the demographics in the study?	of the	X			
<ul> <li>Was there of participants</li> </ul>	clear reporting of clinical informatio ?	n of the	X			
• Were the or clearly repo	utcomes or follow up results of case rted?	es	X			
	clear reporting of the presenting c(s) demographic information?		X			
• Was statisti	cal analysis appropriate?		X			
Overall apprai	sal: Include 🛛 Exclude 🗆	Seek furth	ner info			

© JBI, 2020. All rights reserved. JBI grants use of these tools for research purposes only. All other enquiries should be sent to <a href="mailto:jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au">jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au</a>.

ReviewerKR	Date	Date 30/03/2024			
AuthorAlikor et al	Year_	2017	Reco	ord Number	Study 15
		Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
Were there clear criteria for inclusion in the case series?		X			
Was the condition measured in a standard, reliable way for all participants included in the case series?		X			
<ul> <li>Were valid methods used for identification of the condition for all participants included in the case series?</li> </ul>		X			
<ul> <li>Did the case series have consecutive inclusion of participants?</li> </ul>		X			
<ul> <li>Did the case series have complete inclusion of participants?</li> </ul>			X		
<ul> <li>Was there clear reporting of the demographics of participants in the study?</li> </ul>	the	X			
Was there clear reporting of clinical information or participants?	f the	X			
Were the outcomes or follow up results of cases clearly reported?			X		
<ul> <li>Was there clear reporting of the presenting site(s)/clinic(s) demographic information?</li> </ul>		X			
Was statistical analysis appropriate?		X			
Overall appraisal: Include	eek furth	er info			

© JBI, 2020. All rights reserved. JBI grants use of these tools for research purposes only. All other enquiries should be sent to <a href="mailto:jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au">jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au</a>.

Reviewer	SMK	Date	e	30/03	/2024	
Author	Alikor et al	Year_	2017	Reco	ord Number_	Study 15
			Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
• Were there series?	e clear criteria for inclusion in the ca	ase	X			
	ondition measured in a standard, re participants included in the case se		X			
	methods used for identification of or all participants included in the ca		X			
<ul> <li>Did the cas participants</li> </ul>	e series have consecutive inclusion s?	of	X			
Did the cas participant:	e series have complete inclusion of s?	f		X		
	clear reporting of the demographic s in the study?	s of the	X			
Was there participants	clear reporting of clinical informati s?	on of the	X			
Were the o clearly report	outcomes or follow up results of cas orted?	ses		X		
	clear reporting of the presenting c(s) demographic information?		X			
• Was statist	ical analysis appropriate?		X			
	isal: Include X Exclude C	Seek furth	ner info			

© JBI, 2020. All rights reserved. JBI grants use of these tools for research purposes only. All other enquiries should be sent to <a href="mailto:jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au">jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au</a>.

ReviewerKR	Date	30/03/2024			
Author Afzal et al	Year <b>2011</b>	Rec	ord Number_	Study 16	
	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable	
Were there clear criteria for inclusion in the case series?	X				
Was the condition measured in a standard, reliable way for all participants included in the case series?	X				
<ul> <li>Were valid methods used for identification of the condition for all participants included in the case series?</li> </ul>	X				
<ul> <li>Did the case series have consecutive inclusion of participants?</li> </ul>	X				
<ul> <li>Did the case series have complete inclusion of participants?</li> </ul>	X				
<ul> <li>Was there clear reporting of the demographics of the participants in the study?</li> </ul>	e <b>X</b>				
<ul> <li>Was there clear reporting of clinical information of t participants?</li> </ul>	he 🗶				
• Were the outcomes or follow up results of cases clearly reported?	X				
<ul> <li>Was there clear reporting of the presenting site(s)/clinic(s) demographic information?</li> </ul>	X				
Was statistical analysis appropriate?	X				
Overall appraisal: Include X Exclude Seek further info Comments (Including reason for exclusion)					

© JBI, 2020. All rights reserved. JBI grants use of these tools for research purposes only. All other enquiries should be sent to <a href="mailto:jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au">jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au</a>.

Reviewer	SMK	Date	e	30/03/2024		
Author	Afzal et al	Year_	2011	Rec	ord Number_	Study 16
			Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
<ul><li>Were there series?</li></ul>	e clear criteria for inclusion in the case	<u>!</u>	X			
	ndition measured in a standard, relial participants included in the case serie		X			
	methods used for identification of the or all participants included in the case		X			
Did the cas     participants	e series have consecutive inclusion of s?		X			
Did the cas participant:	e series have complete inclusion of s?		X			
	clear reporting of the demographics o s in the study?	f the	X			
Was there participants	clear reporting of clinical information s?	of the	X			
Were the o clearly report	utcomes or follow up results of cases orted?		X			
	clear reporting of the presenting c(s) demographic information?		X			
• Was statist	ical analysis appropriate?		X			
Overall appra Comments (Ir	isal: Include X Exclude C	Seek furth	ner info			

© JBI, 2020. All rights reserved. JBI grants use of these tools for research purposes only. All other enquiries should be sent to <a href="mailto:jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au">jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au</a>.

Reviewer	KR	Date	e	30/03/2024		
Author	Baloch et al	Year_	2007	Reco	ord Number	Study 17
			Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
<ul><li>Were there series?</li></ul>	clear criteria for inclusion in the case		X			
	ndition measured in a standard, reliable participants included in the case series?		X			
	methods used for identification of the or all participants included in the case		X			
Did the case participants	e series have consecutive inclusion of s?		X			
Did the case     participants	e series have complete inclusion of s?		X			
	clear reporting of the demographics of t s in the study?	the	X			
Was there of participants	clear reporting of clinical information of s?	the	X			
• Were the o clearly repo	utcomes or follow up results of cases orted?		X			
	clear reporting of the presenting c(s) demographic information?		X			
• Was statist	ical analysis appropriate?		X			
Overall apprai	isal: Include X Exclude	eek furth	er info			

© JBI, 2020. All rights reserved. JBI grants use of these tools for research purposes only. All other enquiries should be sent to <a href="mailto:jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au">jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au</a>.

Reviewer	SMK	Date	e	30/03	/2024	
Author	Baloch et al	Year_	2007	Reco	ord Number	Study 17
			Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
• Were there series?	clear criteria for inclusion in the ca	ise	X			
	ndition measured in a standard, rel participants included in the case se		X			
	methods used for identification of or all participants included in the ca		X			
Did the case     participants	e series have consecutive inclusion s?	of	X			
Did the case     participants	e series have complete inclusion of s?		X			
	clear reporting of the demographics in the study?	s of the	X			
Was there of participants	clear reporting of clinical informations?	on of the	X			
Were the o clearly report	utcomes or follow up results of cas orted?	es	X			
	clear reporting of the presenting c(s) demographic information?		X			
• Was statist	ical analysis appropriate?		X			
Overall apprai	isal: Include X Exclude Calcuding reason for exclusion)	Seek furth	ner info			

© JBI, 2020. All rights reserved. JBI grants use of these tools for research purposes only. All other enquiries should be sent to <a href="mailto:jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au">jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au</a>.

Reviewer	KR	Date	e	30/03	/2024	
Author	Koyuncu et al	Year_	2023	Reco	ord Number_	Study 18
			Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
• Were there series?	clear criteria for inclusion in the case		X			
	dition measured in a standard, reliabl articipants included in the case series		X			
	nethods used for identification of the rall participants included in the case		X			
Did the case participants	series have consecutive inclusion of ?		X			
<ul> <li>Did the case participants</li> </ul>	series have complete inclusion of ?		X			
	lear reporting of the demographics of in the study?	the	X			
Was there c participants	lear reporting of clinical information o ?	f the	X			
• Were the ou clearly repor	itcomes or follow up results of cases rted?			X		
	lear reporting of the presenting (s) demographic information?		X			
• Was statistic	cal analysis appropriate?		X			
Overall apprais	sal: Include X Exclude  Social States Social	eek furth	ner info			

© JBI, 2020. All rights reserved. JBI grants use of these tools for research purposes only. All other enquiries should be sent to <a href="mailto:jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au">jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au</a>.

Reviewer	SMK	Date	e	30/03/2024		
Author	Koyuncu et al	Year_	2023	Reco	ord Number <sub>-</sub>	Study 18
			Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
• Were there series?	clear criteria for inclusion in the case	e	X			
	ndition measured in a standard, relia participants included in the case serie		X			
	methods used for identification of th or all participants included in the case		X			
<ul> <li>Did the case participants</li> </ul>	e series have consecutive inclusion of 6?	f	X			
Did the case participants	e series have complete inclusion of 6?		X			
	clear reporting of the demographics on the study?	of the	X			
• Was there of participants	clear reporting of clinical information 5?	of the	X			
<ul> <li>Were the o clearly report</li> </ul>	utcomes or follow up results of cases orted?			X		
	clear reporting of the presenting c(s) demographic information?		X			
• Was statisti	ical analysis appropriate?		X			
Overall apprai	isal: Include X Exclude Calciuding reason for exclusion)	Seek furth	ner info			

© JBI, 2020. All rights reserved. JBI grants use of these tools for research purposes only. All other enquiries should be sent to <a href="mailto:jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au">jbisynthesis@adelaide.edu.au</a>.