

Supplementary

Table S1 Treatment response of patients with HCC during first-line lenvatinib-based therapy

Treatment response	Lenvatinib monotherapy (n=53)	Lenvatinib plus immunotherapy (n=19)	P value
Modified RECIST			
Complete response	7 (13.2)	4 (21.1)	0.47
Partial response	9 (17.0)	8 (42.1)	0.055
Stable disease	32 (60.4)	6 (31.6)	0.040
Objective response rate	16 (30.2)	12 (63.2)	0.03
Disease control rate	48 (90.6)	18 (94.8)	>0.99
RECIST v1.1			
Complete response	2 (3.8)	1 (5.3)	>0.99
Partial response	11 (20.8)	5 (26.3)	0.75
Stable disease	35 (66.0)	12 (63.2)	0.78
Objective response rate	13 (24.5)	6 (31.6)	0.56
Disease control rate	48 (90.6)	18 (94.8)	>0.99

HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; RECIST, response evaluation criteria in solid tumors.

Table S2 Characteristics of patients with HCC receiving first-line lenvatinib-based therapy before and after inverse probability of treatment weighting

Variables	Before IPTW			After IPTW		
	Lenvatinib monotherapy (n=53)	Lenvatinib plus immunotherapy (n=19)	P value	Lenvatinib monotherapy (n=52)	Lenvatinib plus immunotherapy (n=15)	P value
Age ≥65 years	25 (47.2)	8 (42.1)	0.79	24 (46.2)	7 (46.7)	>0.99
Male	41 (77.4)	16 (84.2)	0.74	41 (78.8)	13 (86.7)	0.72
Etiologies, n (%)			0.76			>0.99
HBV	30 (56.6)	12 (63.2)		29 (55.8)	9 (56.3)	
HCV	14 (26.4)	3 (15.8)		15 (28.8)	4 (25.0)	
Others	9 (17.0)	4 (21.1)		8 (15.4)	3 (18.8)	
Child-Pugh class, n (%)			>0.99			>0.99
A	51 (96.2)	18 (94.7)		50 (96.2)	15 (100)	
B	2 (3.8)	1 (5.3)		2 (3.8)	0 (0)	
ECOG, n (%)			0.47			>0.99
0-1	46 (86.8)	15 (78.9)		46 (88.5)	13 (86.7)	
2-3	7 (13.2)	4 (21.1)		6 (11.5)	2 (13.3)	
FIB-4 >3.25, n (%)	19 (35.8)	3 (15.8)	0.15	17 (32.7)	2 (13.3)	0.20
ALBI, n (%)			0.22			0.50
Grade 1, 2a	42 (79.2)	12 (63.2)/7 (36.8)		42 (80.8)	11 (73.3)	
Grade 2b, 3	11 (20.8)	7 (36.8)		10 (19.2)	4 (26.7)	
BCLC stage, n (%)			0.17			0.55
B	21 (39.6)	4 (21.1)		17 (32.7)	7 (43.8)	
C	32 (60.4)	15 (78.9)		35 (67.3)	9 (56.3)	
PVT, n (%)	14 (26.4)	2 (10.5)	0.21	12 (23.1)	1 (6.7)	0.30
Vp4, n (%)	3 (5.7)	2 (10.5)	0.60	2 (3.8)	1 (6.7)	0.54
EHM, n (%)	22 (41.5)	16 (84.2)	0.001	27 (51.9)	9 (60.0)	0.77
REFLECT criteria, n (%)			0.34			>0.99
Without	10 (18.9)	6 (31.6)		10 (19.2)	3 (20.0)	
Within	43 (81.1)	13 (68.4)		42 (80.8)	12 (80.0)	
AFP >400 ng/mL, n (%)	15 (28.3)	3 (15.8)	0.36	13 (25.0)	2 (13.3)	0.49
NLR >5.8, n (%)	13 (25.5)	1 (5.3)	0.09	11 (21.2)	0 (0)	0.059

AFP, alpha-fetoprotein; ALBI, albumin-bilirubin; BCCLC, Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; EHM, extrahepatic metastasis; FIB-4, fibrosis index based on four factors; HBV, hepatitis B virus; HCV, hepatitis C virus; IPTW, inverse probability of treatment weighting; NLR, neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio; PVT, portal vein thrombosis.

Table S3 Univariate and multivariate linear regression analyses of predictors of objective response for patients with HCC receiving first-line lenvatinib monotherapy (n=53)

Variables	Objective response (complete response and partial response)			
	Univariate		Multivariate	
	Odds ratio (95% CI)	P value	Odds ratio (95% CI)	P value
Age ≥65 vs. <65 (year)	0.869 (0.266–2.843)	0.82		
Gender, male vs. female	5.769 (0.671–49.61)	0.11	5.769 (0.671–49.61)	0.11
Etiologies, viral vs. non-viral hepatitis	1.154 (0.300–4.434)	0.84		
ECOG 2,3 vs. 0,1	0.333 (0.037–3.026)	0.33		
FIB-4 >3.25 vs. ≤3.25	1.556 (0.465–5.199)	0.47		
ALBI grade 2b,3 vs. 1,2a	0.808 (0.184–3.552)	0.78		
BCLC stage C vs. B	0.727 (0.219–2.411)	0.60		
Previous LRT, yes vs. no	0.857 (0.216–3.399)	0.83		
Portal vein thrombosis, yes vs. no	0.524 (0.124–2.218)	0.38		
Extrahepatic metastasis, yes vs. no	1.089 (0.331–3.577)	0.89		
REFLECT criteria, without vs. within	0.500 (0.093–2.675)	0.42		
Adverse event, yes vs. no	1.667 (0.390–7.118)	0.49		
AFP >9 vs. ≤9 (ng/mL)	0.636 (0.194–2.086)	0.46		
NLR >5.8 vs. ≤5.8	0.926 (0.237–3.623)	0.91		
ALBI grade 1,2a and NLR ≤5.8 vs. others	1.435 (0.376–5.482)	0.60		

AFP, alpha-fetoprotein; ALBI, albumin-bilirubin; BCLC, Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer; CI, confidence interval; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; FIB-4, fibrosis index based on four factors; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; LRT, locoregional therapy; NLR, neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio.

Table S4 Univariate and multivariate linear regression analyses of predictors of disease control for patients with HCC receiving first-line lenvatinib monotherapy (n=53)

Variables	Disease control (complete response, partial response and stable disease)			
	Univariate		Multivariate	
	Odds ratio (95% CI)	P value	Odds ratio (95% CI)	P value
Age ≥65 vs. <65 (year)	0.259 (0.025–2.675)	0.26		
Gender, male vs. female	1.267 (0.119–13.52)	0.85		
Etiologies, viral vs. non-viral hepatitis	NA	>0.99		
ECOG 2,3 vs. 0,1	0.116 (0.013–1.016)	0.052	NA	>0.99
FIB-4 >3.25 vs. ≤3.25	1.800 (0.174–18.64)	0.62		
ALBI grade 2b,3 vs. 1,2a	0.789 (0.074–8.428)	0.85		
BCLC stage C vs. B	1.667 (0.216–12.89)	0.62		
Previous LRT, yes vs. no	1.121 (0.106–11.89)	0.92		
Portal vein thrombosis, yes vs. no	0.333 (0.042–2.631)	0.30		
Extrahepatic metastasis, yes vs. no	NA	>0.99		
REFLECT criteria, without vs. within	0.200 (0.024–1.636)	0.13	NA	>0.99
Adverse event, yes vs. no	1.000 (0.095–10.54)	>0.99		
AFP >9 vs. ≤9 (ng/mL)	1.400 (0.182–10.79)	0.75		
NLR >5.8 vs. ≤5.8	0.314 (0.040–2.500)	0.27		
ALBI grade 1,2a and NLR ≤5.8 vs. others	2.538 (0.323–19.96)	0.38		

AFP, alpha-fetoprotein; ALBI, albumin-bilirubin; BCLC, Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer; CI, confidence interval; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; FIB-4, fibrosis index based on four factors; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; LRT, locoregional therapy; NLR, neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio.

Table S5 Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyses of predictors of progression-free survival for patients with HCC receiving first-line lenvatinib-based therapy (n=72)

Variables	Univariate		Multivariate [†]			
	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	P value	Model 1		Model 2	
			Hazard ratio (95% CI)	P value	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	P value
Age ≥65 vs. <65 (year)	0.693 (0.387–1.241)	0.22				
Gender, male vs. female	1.088 (0.539–2.197)	0.81				
Etiologies, viral vs. non-viral hepatitis	1.265 (0.589–2.718)	0.55				
ECOG 2,3 vs. 0,1	1.398 (0.673–2.903)	0.37				
FIB-4 >3.25 vs. ≤3.25	1.074 (0.578–1.994)	0.82				
ALBI grade 2b,3 vs. 1,2a	1.856 (0.962–3.583)	0.07	1.135 (0.269–4.796)	0.86		
BCLC stage C vs. B	0.748 (0.417–1.344)	0.33				
History of LRT, yes vs. no	0.661 (0.326–1.340)	0.25				
Portal vein thrombosis, yes vs. no	0.838 (0.425–1.652)	0.61				
Extrahepatic metastasis, yes vs. no	1.060 (0.594–1.892)	0.84				
REFLECT criteria, without vs. within	0.697 (0.359–1.354)	0.29				
Combination with ICI, yes vs. no	0.900 (0.465–1.741)	0.75				
Adverse event, yes vs. no	1.043 (0.461–2.361)	0.92				
AFP >9 vs. ≤9 (ng/mL)	1.580 (0.784–3.186)	0.20	1.127 (0.518–2.455)	0.76	1.097 (0.514–2.341)	0.81
NLR >5.8 vs. ≤5.8	2.534 (1.221–5.258)	0.01	1.948 (0.473–8.013)	0.36		
ALBI grade 1,2a and NLR ≤5.8 vs. others	0.445 (0.233–0.849)	0.01			0.382 (0.168–0.871)	0.02

Shaded cells indicate that the variable had a confounding effect on other factors and was therefore not included in the multivariate analysis. [†], Model 1: No combination of ALBI and NLR model. Model 2: Combination of ALBI and NLR model. AFP, alpha-fetoprotein; ALBI, albumin-bilirubin; BCLC, Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer staging; CI, confidence interval; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Performance Status scale; FIB-4, fibrosis index based on four factors; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; ICI, immune checkpoint inhibitor; LRT, locoregional therapy; NLR, neutrophil lymphocyte ratio; PFS, progression-free survival.

Table S6 Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyses of predictors of overall survival for HCC patients receiving first-line lenvatinib-based therapy (n=72)

Variables	Univariate		Multivariate [†]			
	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	P value	Model 1		Model 2	
			Hazard ratio (95% CI)	P value	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	P value
Age ≥65 vs. <65 (year)	1.115 (0.426–2.913)	0.83				
Gender, male vs. female	0.622 (0.219–1.765)	0.37				
Etiologies, viral vs. non-viral hepatitis	1.716 (0.391–7.521)	0.47				
ECOG 2,3 vs. 0,1	3.620 (1.262–10.38)	0.02	1.660 (0.136–20.33)	0.69	1.122 (0.094–13.46)	0.93
FIB-4 >3.25 vs. ≤3.25	1.278 (0.472–3.460)	0.63				
ALBI grade 2b,3 vs. 1,2a	6.493 (2.369–17.79)	<0.001	8.418 (0.699–101.3)	0.09		
BCLC stage C vs. B	1.124 (0.414–3.049)	0.82				
History of LRT, yes vs. no	0.385 (0.132–1.125)	0.08	0.979 (0.203–4.721)	0.98	0.691 (0.153–3.113)	0.63
Portal vein thrombosis, yes vs. no	2.529 (0.958–6.676)	0.06	1.653 (0.489–5.583)	0.42	1.559 (0.466–5.214)	0.47
Extrahepatic metastasis, yes vs. no	0.765 (0.290–2.015)	0.59				
REFLECT criteria, without vs. within	0.252 (0.094–0.679)	0.006	0.775 (0.068–8.785)	0.84	0.546 (0.052–5.771)	0.62
Combination with ICI, yes vs. no	0.949 (0.309–2.916)	0.93				
Adverse event, yes vs. no	2.679 (0.350–20.48)	0.34				
AFP >9 vs. ≤9 (ng/mL)	2.966 (0.808–10.89)	0.10	1.825 (0.398–8.379)	0.44	2.123 (0.473–9.527)	0.33
NLR >5.8 vs. ≤5.8	5.480 (1.889–15.90)	0.002	0.758 (0.067–8.547)	0.82		
ALBI grade 1,2a and NLR ≤5.8 vs. others	0.171 (0.061–0.482)	0.001			0.197 (0.043–0.910)	0.040

Table shading indicated that the variable has a confounding effect on other factors, and thus was not included in the multivariate analysis. [†], Model 1: No combination of ALBI and NLR model. Model 2: Combination of ALBI and NLR model. AFP, alpha-fetoprotein; ALBI, albumin-bilirubin; BCLC, Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer; CI, confidence interval; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; FIB-4, fibrosis index based on four factors; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; ICI, immune checkpoint inhibitor; LRT, locoregional therapy; NLR, neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio; OS, overall survival.

Table S7 Subgroup analysis of progression-free survival

Variables	Patient number	HR	95% CI		P value
			Lower	Upper	
Overall	72	0.900	0.465	1.741	0.75
Age (years)					
<65	39	0.926	0.384	2.232	0.86
≥65	33	0.849	0.310	2.329	0.75
Extrahepatic metastasis					
Yes	38	0.920	0.402	2.107	0.84
No	34	0.505	0.068	3.771	0.51
Cause of liver disease					
Hepatitis B	43	0.854	0.379	1.922	0.70
Non-hepatitis B	29	1.002	0.316	3.177	>0.99
α-fetoprotein (ng/mL)					
<400	54	0.881	0.407	1.904	0.75
≥400	18	0.975	0.265	3.586	0.97

HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval.

Table S8 Subgroup analysis of overall survival

Variables	Patient number	HR	95% CI		P value
			Lower	Upper	
Overall	72	0.949	0.309	2.916	0.93
Age (years)					
<65	39	0.918	0.185	4.553	0.92
≥65	33	0.986	0.203	4.794	0.99
Extrahepatic metastasis					
Yes	38	0.988	0.221	4.420	0.99
No	34	1.500	0.184	12.22	0.71
Cause of liver disease					
Hepatitis B	43	1.399	0.428	4.572	0.58
Non-hepatitis B	29	0.031	0.000	422.2	0.47
α-fetoprotein (ng/mL)					
<400	54	1.351	0.336	5.43	0.67
≥400	18	0.776	0.092	6.511	0.82

HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval.