


**Figure S1** EFS in the mITT (A) and PP (B) population. EFS, event-free survival; mITT, modified intention-to-treat; PP, per-protocol.


**Table S1** Multivariate Cox regression on EFS analysis

Characteristics	HR	95% CI	P value
MPR	0.33	0.09, 1.24	0.10
Baseline N2 staging	0.45	0.28, 17.79	0.45
Squamous cell carcinoma	0.58	0.22, 1.55	0.28
VATS	0.85	0.18, 4.11	0.85
Adjuvant treatment	0.89	0.33, 5.98	0.55

CI, confidence interval; EFS, event-free survival; HR, hazard ratio; MPR, major pathologic response; VATS, video-assisted thoracic surgery.



**Figure S2** OS in the mITT (A) and PP (B) population. mITT, modified intention-to-treat; OS, overall survival; PP, per-protocol.



**Figure S3** K-M curves depicting the OS stratified by complete pathologic response. K-M, Kaplan-Meier; OS, overall survival; pCR, pathologic complete response.

**Table S2** Multivariate Cox regression on OS analysis

Characteristics	HR	95% CI	P value
pCR	0.08	0.00, 1.47	0.09
MPR	6.25	0.35, 69.75	0.19
Baseline N2 staging	4.3	0.57, 52.97	0.12
Squamous cell carcinoma	0.3	0.03, 1.2	0.09
VATS	2.82	0.11, 45.59	0.99
Adjuvant treatment	0.4	0.03, 6.48	0.99

CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; MPR, major pathologic response; OS, overall survival; VATS, video-assisted thoracic surgery.