Supplementary

Variables	AOR	95% CI	P value	
Eligibility of lung cancer screen				
Noneligible or unknown (ref)				
Eligible	3.36	2.25-5.02	<0.001	
Age	1.05	1.02-1.07	<0.001	
Sex				
Male (ref)				
Female	1.31	0.89–1.94	0.17	
Race and ethnicity				
Hispanic/Latino (ref)				
Non-Hispanic White	3.46	1.55–7.72	0.002	
Non-Hispanic Black	4.20	1.85–9.50	0.001	
Others	3.33	1.06–10.47	0.04	
Marital status				
Single (ref)				
Married	0.92	0.56–1.50	0.73	
Others	0.80	0.51-1.26	0.34	
Preferred language speaking				
English/Spanish (ref)				
Other languages	2.17	0.92–5.12	0.08	
Insurance coverage				
No (ref)				
Yes	0.85	0.47-1.54	0.59	
Primary care physician				
Yes (ref)				
No/unknown	0.62	0.41–0.94	0.03	
Social/behavior risks				
Positive (ref)				
Negative	0.93	0.61–1.41	0.73	
Multimorbidity				
No (ref)				
Yes	2.55	1.08–5.98	0.03	

Table S1 Multivariable logistic regression analysis of factors associated with newly diagnosed lung cancer confirmed by pathological reports at ED

Based on a total of 18,335 unique patients who had CT of chest performed at ED. A multivariable logistic regression analysis was performed to determine the factors associated with newly diagnosed lung cancer at ED. ED, Emergency Department; AOR, adjusted odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; CT, computer tomography.

······			
Sensitivity	Specificity of 0.95	Specificity of 0.98	Specificity of 1.00
0.1	86.60%	16.63%	6.70%
0.2	28.87%	7.39%	3.35%
0.3	17.32%	4.75%	2.23%
0.4	12.37%	3.50%	1.68%
0.5	9.62%	2.77%	1.34%
0.6	7.87%	2.29%	1.12%
0.7	6.66%	1.96%	0.96%
0.8	5.77%	1.71%	0.84%
0.9	5.09%	1.51%	0.74%
1.0	4.56%	1.36%	0.67%

Table S2 A misclassification analysis of corrected prevalence of newly diagnosed lung cancer in the ED under varying assumptions of sensitivity	y
and specificity	

Given the extremely low observed prevalence of newly diagnosed lung cancer, we assumed three different specificities (i.e., 0.95, 0.98, and 1.00) and the sensitivity assumed from 0.10 to 1.00. ED, Emergency Department.

Table S3 Age, chronic conditions.	, and eligibility of lung can	cer screening comparisons an	nong ED patien	ts with different races and ethnicities

Characteristics	NHW	NHB	Hispanic/Latino	Others	Р
Number, n	7,426	5,313	4,561	1,048	
Age, year					
Mean (SD)	61.47 (7.45)	61.29 (7.54)	61.17 (8.00)	62.83 (8.03)	<0.001
Median (IQR)	61 (56–66)	60 (55–66)	60 (55–67)	63 (56–69)	
Eligibility, n (%)					<0.001
Eligible for lung cancer screening	1,627 (21.91)	721 (13.57)	281 (6.16)	96 (9.16)	
Chronic conditions, n (%)					<0.001
Multimorbidity	6,247 (84.12)	4,767 (89.72)	3,643 (79.87)	859 (81.97)	

ED, Emergency Department; NHW, non-Hispanic White; NHB, non-Hispanic Black; SD, standard deviation; IQR, interquartile range.

Table S4 Comparisons of	FED and clinical visits in the	past among ED	patients speaking	different languages

Clinical and ED Visits	Patients speaking English or Spanish	Patients speaking other languages	р	
Number	17,520	828		
Number of clinical visits in the past 5 years				
Mean (SD)	16 (26)	13 (16)	0.001	
Median (IQR)	8 (3–20)	8 (3–18)	0.002	
Number of ED visits in the past 12 months				
Mean (SD)	1 (4)	1 (2)	<0.001	
Median (IQR)	0 (0–2)	0 (0–1)	<0.001	

ED, Emergency Department; SD, standard deviation; IQR, interquartile range.