**TITLE PAGE**

**Title:**

**Authors:**

**Affiliation:**

**Correspondence to:**

**Running title:** no more than 60 characters, including spaces.

**Word Count:** no more than 6,000 words for Narrative/Literature Reviews.

**Number of figures, videos and tables:** no limit.

**Contributions:**

**Abstract (200~350 words)**

Background and Objective:

Methods:

Key Content and Findings:

Conclusions:

**Keywords:** 3~5 words

**1. Introduction**

1.1 Background

1.2 Rationale and knowledge gap

1.3 Objective

This manuscript is written following xxx checklist (if applicable).

**2. Methods**

Please ensure that the method description is sufficiently detailed to make the study reproducible. Please note that a narrative review is less methodologically demanding than a systematic review, as it does not require a search of all literature in a field. Therefore, the Methods is mainly used for more transparent reporting.

**3. Main Body (Require discussing on strengths and limitations of this review; the word “main body” should not be used as a subheading and authors are free to decide on the subheadings for content of the main body)**

For Review Article, Narrative Review/Literature Review, Clinical Practice Review, Mini-Review, Clinical Practice Guideline, and Expert Consensus, although a separate Discussion section is not required, authors are encouraged to include evidence-based, comprehensive, in-depth, and cutting-edge discussion throughout the main body content. In particular, we recommend including a separate section on strengths and limitations in the main body to promote a more intellectual interpretation.

**4. Conclusions**

**Acknowledgments**

Funding: Report the funding source or any other acknowledgements here, e.g. funding agency name (grant number xxxx)/None

**Footnote**

(If applicable) Reporting Checklist: The authors have completed the xxx reporting checklist.

Conflicts of Interest:

Ethical Statement:

**References**

No limit.

**Tables**

No limit.

Narrative/Literature Review should include a search summary as below.

Table X. The search strategy summary

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Items** | **Specification** |
| Date of search (specified to date, month and year) |   |
| Databases and other sources searched |   |
| Search terms used (including MeSH and free text search terms and filters)Note: please use an independent supplement table to present detailed search strategy of one database as an example |   |
| Timeframe |   |
| Inclusion and exclusion criteria (study type, language restrictions etc.) |   |
| Selection process (who conducted the selection, whether it was conducted independently, how consensus was obtained, etc.) |   |
| Any additional considerations, if applicable |   |

\*Note: please note that a narrative review is less methodologically demanding than a systematic review, as it does not require a search of all literature in a field. Therefore, the search strategy summary of a narrative review is mainly used for more transparent reporting.

**Figures**

No limit.

**Legends**

**Supplementary Appendix**