Table S1 The results of pairwise comparison between differentgrades after multiple comparisons in putamen magneticsusceptibility

Groups	P value
HC vs. grade 2	0.004*
HC vs. grade 3	<0.001*
HC vs. grade 4	<0.001*
Grade 2 vs. HC	0.004*
Grade 2 vs. grade 3	0.010*
Grade 2 vs. grade 4	0.038*
Grade 3 vs. HC	<0.001*
Grade 3 vs. grade 2	0.010*
Grade 3 vs. grade 4	0.693
Grade 4 vs. HC	<0.001*
Grade 4 vs. grade 2	0.038*
Grade 4 vs. grade 3	0.693

*, statistical significance. Grade 2: patients with grade 2 gliomas; Grade 3: patients with grade 3 gliomas; Grade 4: patients with grade 4 gliomas. HC, healthy controls.

 Table S2 The results of pairwise comparison between different grades after multiple comparisons in globus pallidus magnetic susceptibility

Groups	P value
HC vs. grade 2	<0.001*
HC vs. grade 3	<0.001*
HC vs. grade 4	<0.001*
Grade 2 vs. HC	<0.001*
Grade 2 vs. grade 3	0.710
Grade 2 vs. grade 4	0.851
Grade 3 vs. HC	<0.001*
Grade 3 vs. grade 2	0.710
Grade 3 vs. grade 4	0.866
Grade 4 vs. HC	<0.001*
Grade 4 vs. grade 2	0.851
Grade 4 vs. grade 3	0.866

*, statistical significance. Grade 2: patients with grade 2 gliomas; Grade 3: patients with grade 3 gliomas; Grade 4: patients with grade 4 gliomas. HC, healthy controls.

Table S3 The results of pairwise comparison between diff	erent
grades after multiple comparisons in caudate nucleus mag	netic
susceptibility	

Groups	P value
HC vs. grade 2	0.003*
HC vs. grade 3	<0.001*
HC vs. grade 4	<0.001*
Grade 2 vs. HC	0.003*
Grade 2 vs. grade 3	0.355
Grade 2 vs. grade 4	0.203
Grade 3 vs. HC	<0.001*
Grade 3 vs. grade 2	0.355
Grade 3 vs. grade 4	0.677
Grade 4 vs. HC	<0.001*
Grade 4 vs. grade 2	0.203
Grade 4 vs. grade 3	0.677

*, statistical significance. Grade 2: patients with grade 2 gliomas; Grade 3: patients with grade 3 gliomas; Grade 4: patients with grade 4 gliomas. HC, healthy controls.

Table S4 The results of pairwise comparison between differentgrades after multiple comparisons in thalamus magneticsusceptibility

susceptibility	
Groups	P value
HC vs. grade 2	0.027*
HC vs. grade 3	<0.001*
HC vs. grade 4	<0.001*
Grade 2 vs. HC	0.027*
Grade 2 vs. grade 3	0.030*
Grade 2 vs. grade 4	0.039*
Grade 3 vs. HC	<0.001*
Grade 3 vs. grade 2	0.030*
Grade 3 vs. grade 4	0.995
Grade 4 vs. HC	<0.001*
Grade 4 vs. grade 2	0.039*
Grade 4 vs. grade 3	0.995

*, statistical significance. Grade 2: patients with grade 2 gliomas; Grade 3: patients with grade 3 gliomas; Grade 4: patients with grade 4 gliomas. HC, healthy controls.

susceptionity	
Groups	P value
HC vs. grade 2	0.052
HC vs. grade 3	<0.001*
HC vs. grade 4	<0.001*
Grade 2 vs. HC	0.052
Grade 2 vs. grade 3	0.092
Grade 2 vs. grade 4	0.055
Grade 3 vs. HC	<0.001*
Grade 3 vs. grade 2	0.092
Grade 3 vs. grade 4	0.729
Grade 4 vs. HC	<0.001*
Grade 4 vs. grade 2	0.055
Grade 4 vs. grade 3	0.729

Table S5 The results of pairwise comparison between differentgrades after multiple comparisons in substantia nigra magneticsusceptibility

 Table S7 The results of pairwise comparison between different grades after multiple comparison in dentate nucleus magnetic susceptibility

Groups	P value
HC vs. grade 2	0.068
HC vs. grade 3	<0.001*
HC vs. grade 4	<0.001*
Grade 2 vs. HC	0.068
Grade 2 vs. grade 3	0.010*
Grade 2 vs. grade 4	0.147
Grade 3 vs. HC	<0.001*
Grade 3 vs. grade 2	0.010*
Grade 3 vs. grade 4	0.294
Grade 4 vs. HC	<0.001*
Grade 4 vs. grade 2	0.147
Grade 4 vs. grade 3	0.294

*, statistical significance. Grade 2: patients with grade 2 gliomas; Grade 3: patients with grade 3 gliomas; Grade 4: patients with grade 4 gliomas. HC, healthy controls.

*, statistical significance. Grade 2: patients with grade 2 gliomas; Grade 3: patients with grade 3 gliomas; Grade 4: patients with grade 4 gliomas. HC, healthy controls.

Table S6 The results of pairwise comparison between different grades after multiple comparisons in red nucleus magnetic susceptibility

1 7	
Groups	P value
HC vs. grade 2	0.800
HC vs. grade 3	0.001*
HC vs. grade 4	0.018*
Grade 2 vs. HC	0.800
Grade 2 vs. grade 3	0.003*
Grade 2 vs. grade 4	0.048*
Grade 3 vs. HC	0.001*
Grade 3 vs. grade 2	0.003*
Grade 3 vs. grade 4	0.390
Grade 4 vs. HC	0.018*
Grade 4 vs. grade 2	0.048*
Grade 4 vs. grade 3	0.390

*, statistical significance. Grade 2: patients with grade 2 gliomas; Grade 3: patients with grade 3 gliomas; Grade 4: patients with grade 4 gliomas. HC, healthy controls.