

**Figure S1** Funnel plot of the distribution of the sensitivity of wbMRI in the detection of nodal involvement by lymphoma.



Figure S2 Sensitivity of wbMRI in the detection of nodal involvement by lymphoma shown on a leave-one-out sensitivity analysis.



**Figure S3** Funnel plot of the distribution of the specificity of wbMRI in the detection of nodal involvement by lymphoma.

Study	E	Estimate [95% CI]
Kharuzhy 2020	H	1.00 [1.00, 1.00]
Albano 2016	·	0.99 [0.98, 1.00]
Azzedine 2014	·	0.99 [0.98, 1.00]
Latifoltojar 2020	•	0.99 [0.98, 1.00]
Balbo-Musseto 2016	·	0.99 [0.98, 1.00]
Lin 2010	<b>⊢</b>	0.99 [0.98, 1.00]
Mayerhoefer 2015	•i	0.99 [0.98, 1.00]
Stéphane 2013	•••••	0.99 [0.98, 1.00]
	0.98 0.99 1 1.01	
	Specificity	

**Figure S4** Specificity of wbMRI in the detection of nodal involvement by lymphoma shown on a leave-one-out sensitivity analysis.



Figure S5 Funnel plot of the distribution of the sensitivity of wbMRI in the detection of extranodal involvement by lymphoma.



Figure S6 Sensitivity of wbMRI in the detection of extranodal involvement by lymphoma shown on a leave-one-out sensitivity analysis.



Figure S7 Funnel plot of the distribution of the specificity of wbMRI in the detection of extranodal involvement by lymphoma.

Study	I	Estimate [95% CI]
Kharuzhy 2020	H <b>a</b> ri	1.00 [1.00, 1.00]
Stéphane 2013	·1	0.99 [0.98, 1.00]
Mayerhoefer 2015	·	0.99 [0.98, 1.00]
Albano 2016	•	0.99 [0.98, 1.00]
Abdulqadrh 2011	<b></b>	0.99 [0.98, 1.00]
Latifoltojar 2020	<b></b>	0.99 [0.98, 1.00]
Balbo-Musseto 2016	• <b></b>	0.99 [0.98, 1.00]
Lin 2010	<b></b> i	0.99 [0.98, 1.00]
	0.98 0.99 1 1.01	
	Specificity	

Figure S8 Specificity of wbMRI in the detection of extranodal involvement by lymphoma shown on a leave-one-out sensitivity analysis.





Figure S9 Funnel plot of the distribution of the agreement of wbMRI and the reference standard in the stage.



**Figure S10** Agreement of wbMRI and the reference standard in the stage shown on a leave-one-out sensitivity analysis.