## Supplementary

Table S1 The comparison of the diagnosis abilities at Youden's index threshold

| Modality | AUC | Cutoff value | Sensitivity (\%) | Specificity (\%) | Positive predictive value (\%) | Negative predictive value (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PSAd ( $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}^{2}$ ) | $\begin{gathered} 0.812 \\ (95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 0.719-0.905) \end{gathered}$ | 0.37 | $\begin{gathered} 0.676 \\ (95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 0.495-0.826) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.859 \\ (95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 0.762-0.927) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.676 \\ \text { (95\% CI: 0.495-0.826) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.858 \\ (95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 0.761-0.927) \end{gathered}$ |
| PI-RADS | $\begin{gathered} 0.806 \\ \text { (95\% CI: 0.702-0.909) } \end{gathered}$ | 4 | $\begin{gathered} 0.794 \\ (95 \% \text { CI: } 0.621-0.913) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.821 \\ (95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 0.717-0.898) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.659 \\ \text { (95\% CI: } 0.494-0.799 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.901 \\ \text { (95\% CI: 0.807-0.959) } \end{gathered}$ |
| SUVmax | $\begin{gathered} 0.903 \\ (95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 0.846-0.960) \end{gathered}$ | 6.4 | $\begin{gathered} 0.912 \\ \text { (95\% CI: 0.763-0.981) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.795 \\ \text { (95\% CI: 0.688-0.878) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.660 \\ (95 \% \mathrm{Cl}: 0.507-0.791) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.954 \\ \text { (95\% CI: 0.871-0.990) } \end{gathered}$ |
| Model | $\begin{gathered} 0.936 \\ (95 \% \text { CI: } 0.888-0.984) \end{gathered}$ | 0.316 | $\begin{gathered} 0.882 \\ \text { (95\% CI: 0.725-0.967) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.910 \\ \text { (95\% CI: 0.824-0.963) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.811 \\ (95 \% \text { CI: 0.648-0.920) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.947 \\ \text { (95\% CI: 0.869-0.985) } \end{gathered}$ |

AUC, area under the curve; CI, confidence interval; PSAd, prostate-specific antigen density; PI-RADS, Prostate Imaging Reporting and Data System; SUVmax, maximum standard uptake value.

Table S2 The baseline characteristics of external validation cohort

| Characteristics | Overall ( $\mathrm{N}=61$ ) | Non-csPCa or non-tumor ( $\mathrm{N}=16$ ) | csPCa ( $\mathrm{N}=45$ ) | $P$ value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (years) | 66.0 [61.0, 72.0] | 62.5 [57.8, 68.0] | 69.0 [62.0, 72.0] | 0.018 |
| BMI ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | 22.8 [21.1, 25.0] | 23.3 [21.0, 26.3] | 22.7 [21.2, 24.2] | 0.546 |
| tPSA ( $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) | 16.3 [9.1, 31.7] | 8.8 [6.1, 15.3] | 20.6 [11.4, 34.4] | 0.002 |
| Comorbidity |  |  |  |  |
| Hypertension | 26 (43.3) | 6 (37.5) | 20 (45.5) | 0.769 |
| Diabetes | 7 (11.7) | 3 (18.8) | 4 (9.1) | 0.37 |
| CHD | 4 (6.7) | 2 (12.5) | 2 (4.5) | 0.287 |
| Smoking | 26 (43.3) | 6 (37.5) | 20 (45.5) | 0.769 |
| Drinking | 23 (38.3) | 5 (31.2) | 18 (40.9) | 0.561 |
| PSAd ( $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}^{2}$ ) | 0.5 [0.2, 1.3] | 0.2 [0.1, 0.3] | 0.7 [0.3, 1.4] | <0.001 |
| Prostate volume (mL) | 32.9 [23.0, 53.3] | 49.5 [35.6, 64.9] | 31.4 [22.2, 42.6] | 0.003 |
| PI-RADS (\%) |  |  |  | 0.005 |
| 1 | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) |  |
| 2 | 2 (3.3) | 2 (12.5) | 0 (0.0) |  |
| 3 | 17 (27.9) | 8 (50.0) | 9 (20.0) |  |
| 4 | 5 (8.2) | 0 (0.0) | 5 (11.1) |  |
| 5 | 37 (60.7) | 6 (37.5) | 31 (68.9) |  |
| SUVmax | 8.2 [5.9, 14.2] | 2.7 [0.0, 6.3] | 12.2 [8.1, 20.8] | <0.001 |
| Procedure |  |  |  | <0.001 |
| MPB | 19 (31.1) | 11 (68.8) | 8 (17.8) |  |
| MBP | 42 (68.9) | 5 (31.2) | 37 (82.2) |  |

Data are shown as $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ or median [IQR]. Non-csPCa, non-clinically significant prostate cancer; non-tumor, non-cancer diseases; csPCa, clinically significant prostate cancer; IQR , interquartile range; BMI, Body mass index; tPSA, total prostate-specific antigen; CHD, coronary heart disease; PSAd, prostate-specific antigen density; PI-RADS, Prostate Imaging Reporting and Data System; SUVmax, maximum standard uptake value; MPB, the sequence of procedure being as follows: mpMRI, PET-CT, and biopsy; MBP, the sequence of procedure being as follows: mpMRI, biopsy, and PET-CT.


Figure S1 The flowchart of the research procedure. mpMRI, multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging; PET-CT: positron emission tomography-computed tomography imaging; mpMRI-TB+SB, mpMRI guided targeted biopsy and systematic biopsy; PSMA, prostatespecific membrane antigen; PET/CT-TB+SB, PET-CT-guided targeted biopsy and systematic biopsy; GRPR, gastrin-releasing peptide receptor; csPCa, clinically significant prostate cancer.


Figure S2 Comparison of receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis of the two models (model 1: Prostate Imaging Reporting and Data System (PI-RADS) + maximum standardized uptake value (SUVmax) + prostate-specific antigen density (PSAd); model 2: PI-RADS + SUVmax). The area under the curve (AUC) of model 1 was 0.936 and that of model 2 was 0.933 . The test revealed a non-significant difference in the AUC of the two ROC curves, with a p-value of 0.4303 .

