

Table S1 Data extraction and quality assessment of studies by NOS

Study	RR	95% CI		Selection	Comparability	Outcome	Total NOS
		Lower limit	Upper limit				
Linos <i>et al.</i> , 1981	1.30	0.95	1.78	★★★★	–	★★★	7
Adami <i>et al.</i> , 1983	0.85	0.68	1.07	★★★★	–	★★	6
Adami <i>et al.</i> , 1987	0.91	0.77	1.07	★★★★	–	★★★	7
Nielsen <i>et al.</i> , 1991	1.08	0.82	1.40	★★★★	–	★★★	7
Goldbohm <i>et al.</i> , 1993	1.60	1.16	2.19	★★★	★★	★★★	8
Ekbohm <i>et al.</i> , 1993	0.99	0.92	1.07	★★★★	–	★★	6
Johansen <i>et al.</i> , 1996	1.09	1.00	1.18	★★★★	★	★★	7
Lagergren <i>et al.</i> , 2001	1.01	0.92	1.11	★★★★	–	★★★	7
Shao <i>et al.</i> , 2005	1.32	1.16	1.48	★★★★	★	★★	7
Goldacre <i>et al.</i> , 2005	1.02	0.93	1.11	★★★★	–	★★	6
Goldacre <i>et al.</i> , 2012	1.24	1.02	1.51	★★★★	★★	★★	8
Chen <i>et al.</i> , 2014	1.56	1.12	2.17	★★★★	★★	★	7
Shabanzadeh <i>et al.</i> , 2017	1.35	0.73	2.51	★★★★	★	★★★	8
Lee <i>et al.</i> , 2018	0.79	0.56	1.10	★★★★	★★	★★	8
Chen <i>et al.</i> , 2020	0.66	0.6	0.73	★★★★	★★	★★★	9
Kim <i>et al.</i> , 2020	2.08	1.28	3.17	★★★★	–	★★	6
Jung <i>et al.</i> , 2021	1.55	1.48	1.63	★★★★	–	★★	6
Choi <i>et al.</i> , 2022	1.03	0.88	1.21	★★★★	★★	★★	8

★ represents one point in Newcastle-Ottawa Scale scoring system. RR, relative risk; CI, confidential interval; NOS, Newcastle-Ottawa Scale.