CPM Decision Making (Contralateral Prophylactic Mastectomy)

DCIS Management (Ductal Carcinoma In Situ)

AND

National Accreditation Program for Breast Centers

A Survey of NAPBC Physicians May 2017

Please note: Your participation in this survey is voluntary – you may skip questions you do not wish to answer. By completing and returning the survey, you provide your permission for us to use the data. Your response is confidential, but the Survey Lab does use completion rate at the center level to guide reminder effort. This means the Survey Lab will track the total completes by center, but *not* by individual, and will *not* enter the names of centers with the survey answers. Center names will *not* be retained beyond the data collection phase, nor shared with Dr. Yao or other study analysts or sponsors. Neither your name nor the name of your center will be part of the data set used for analysis.

Please return survey to:

The University of Chicago Survey Lab 6030 S. Ellis Ave. Rm 152 Chicago IL 60637

A postage-paid envelope is provided with the survey for this purpose.

SECTION A. Contralateral Prophylactic Mastectomy (CPM)

First we ask for your feelings and opinions about CPM (contralateral prophylactic mastectomy).

A1. Do you favor or oppose insurance coverage for CPM in the following situations?

		Favor Coverage	Neutral	Oppose Coverage
Α.	High operative risk.	1	2	3
В.	Average contralateral breast cancer risk.	1	2	3
C.	Higher than average contralateral breast cancer risk.	1	2	3
D.	Stage III or IV disease.	1	2	3
E.	Patient over 70 years old.	1	2	3
F.	In all cases.	1	2	3
G.	In no cases.	1	2	3

A2. Do you think *overall* rates of CPM should be added as a quality measure by ...

	Definitely yes	Probably yes	Probably not	Definitely not	No Opinion
A. Hospitals.	1	2	3	4	□-2
B. Medical societies.	1	2	3	4	□-2
C. Insurance companies.	1)	2	3	4	□-2

A3. Do you think *physician-specific* rates of CPM should be added as a quality measure by ...

	Definitely yes	Probably yes	Probably not	Definitely not	No Opinion
A. Hospitals.	1	2	3	4	
B. Medical societies.	1)	2	3	4	□-2
C. Insurance companies.	1	2	3	4	□-2

A4. Has an insurance company ever denied coverage for CPM in one of your cases?

① Yes

② No

Next are some knowledge questions about CPM. Please make your best guess without looking up information.

A5.	What is your best guess of the ten and twenty year <u>risks of contralateral breast cancer</u> in
	patients with

IDC and no additional risk factors		IDC and a first-degree relative with breast cancer	IDC and a BRCA gene mutation		
A.	10-year contralateral risk%	C. 10-year contralateral risk%	E. 10-year contralateral risk %		
В.	20-year contralateral risk %	D. 20-year contralateral risk %	F. 20-year contralateral risk %		

A6. For disease at the same stage, how do local recurrence risks compare across tumor types for lumpectomy or mastectomy?

	Lumpectomy carries higher local recurrence risk	Same local recurrence risk for lumpectomy and mastectomy	Mastectomy carries higher local recurrence risk	Unsure
A. Triple negative.	1	2	3	□-2
B. HER2neu positive.	1)	2	3	□-2
C. ER positive.	1	2	3	□-2

Now are questions about when you believe CPM is indicated, should be discouraged, or neither.

A7. In these situations, would you say ...

		CPM is strongly indicated	Neither	CPM should be discouraged	No idea
	ient desires CPM many years after the ginal breast surgery.	1	2	3	□-2
	ient wishes to avoid future mmograms or biopsies.	1	2	3	□ ₋₂
	ient has high cancer recurrence kiety.	1	2	3	□-2
D. Pat	ient has concerns about symmetry.	1)	2	3	□-2

A8.	Fo	r these patients, would you say	CPM is strongly indicated	Neither	CPM should be discouraged	No idea
	A.	Under age 40 with breast cancer.	1	2	3	
	В.	With suspicious breast cancer family history.	1)	2	3	□-2
	C.	BRCA carrier.	1	2	3	□-2
	D.	Tested negative for BRCA, but in a family of BRCA positive carriers.	1)	2	3	□-4
	E.	Two-plus first-degree relatives with breast cancer.	1)	2	3	□-2
	F.	Male breast cancer, including BRCA carriers.	1)	2	3	□-2
	G.	Young with ER negative breast cancer.	1	2	3	□-2
	Н.	With pathogenic mutations besides BRCA.	1)	2	3	□ ₋₂
	I.	Average risk with unilateral breast cancer.	1)	2	3	□-2
	J.	With locally advanced breast cancer.	1	2	3	□ ₋₂
	we tı Wl	3. Management of DCIS: Ductal Car urn to the management of DCIS. hat do you call DCIS (ductal carcinoma in eck all that apply. Pre-cancer		consultat	ions with patient	s?
	\square_2	Cancer				
	\square_3	Non-invasive cancer				
	\square_4	High-risk lesion				
	\square_5	Intra-ductal breast cancer				
	_5					
	\Box_6	Indolent lesion of epithelial origin				

B2. There is currently some discussion about whether some DCIS might be over treated. What is your impression of the evidence to support initial observation for DCIS rather than immediate treatment?

- ① Strong evidence
- ② Moderate evidence
- ③ Weak and limited evidence
- 4 No evidence

В3.	Regardless of current protocols, for what proportion of your DCIS patients do you think initial
	observation (no surgery, no radiation) has the potential to be as or more appropriate than
	immediate treatment?

(1)	
(1)	Αl
$\overline{}$	AI

- ⑤ 21 40 % (between 1 & 2 in 5)
- 6 Less than 20% (fewer than 1 in 5)
- ⑦ None

B4. Please rate your comfort level in initially observing rather than immediately treating the following patients with DCIS:

	Very comfortable	Pretty comfortable	Neutral	Somewhat <u>un</u> comfortable <u>i</u>	Very <u>un</u> comfortable
A. High grade DCIS.	1	2	3	4	(5)
B. Low grade DCIS.	1	2	3	4	(5)
C. Patient over 70 years old with high or low grade DCIS.	1	2	3	4	(5)
D. DCIS greater than 3 cm.	1	2	3	4	(5)
E. DCIS patient under 40 years old.	1	2	3	4	(5)
F. ER negative DCIS.	1	2	3	4	(5)
G. ER positive DCIS.	1	2	3	4	(5)

B5. Do you think the paradigm shift to observe DCIS has been prompted by ...

		Definitely yes	Probably yes	Probably not	Definitely not
A.	A push for cost savings.	1	2	3	4
В.	More data on over-diagnosis and over-treatment of breast cancer.	1	2	3	4
C.	Data showing harm of DCIS treatment.	1	2	3	4
D.	Data showing no survival advantage for treatment of DCIS.	1	2	3	4
Ε.	Experience with active surveillance for prostate cancer.	1	2	3	4

② Over 80 % (more than 4 in 5)

③ 61 – 80 % (between 3 & 4 in 5)

^{41 – 60 % (}Roughly half)

В6.		w easy or difficult do you anticipate it would be to recruit nical trial of initial observation of DCIS versus immediate tr	-	s to a rando	mized		
	① Very easy – more than are typically interested in clinical trials.						
	2						
	3	Somewhat difficult – fewer than in most clinical trials, but enou	igh for the tri	al.			
	4	Very difficult – only a few patients would agree to this, maybe	_		succeed.		
	(5)	Impossibly difficult – no patient would agree to this.	· ·				
В7.		low are reasons why some doctors would not likely partici servation for DCIS. Which of these are reasons <u>you</u> might o					
			Major	Minor	Not a		
	^	High vials of discours was associated	reason	reason	reason		
		High risk of disease progression.	1)	2	3		
	В.	Patients would not consent.	1)	2	3		
	C.	Unsure of how to explain active surveillance to patients.	1	2	3		
	D.	Medical center would not support observation of DCIS.	1	2	3		
	Ε.	Going against standard of care.	1	2	3		
	F.	Worry about tumor upstaging seen with surgery.	1	2	3		
	G.	Other, please describe	1	2	3		
nfor	mati		-				
B8.	Wr	nat is your best guess of the 10-year <u>local</u> recurrence risk for A. Lumpectomy without radiation?%	or DCIS patie	ents undergo	oing		
		A. Lumpectomy without radiation:/					
		B. Lumpectomy with radiation?%					
		C. Mastectomy?%					
В9.	Wł	nat is your best guess of the 10-year <u>distant</u> recurrence risk	for DCIS pa	tients unde	rgoing		
		A. Surgery?%					
		B. <u>No</u> treatment for DCIS%					

Section C. National Accreditation Program for Breast Centers (NAPBC)

This section asks you to evaluate the NAPBC and your overall access to clinical trial information.

C1.		as the <u>first</u> time your center was ever	accredited by th	e NAPBC			
	1	Before you started there					
	2	During your tenure there					
	3	Unsure					
C2.	Do	es NAPBC accreditation enhance, hind	der or have no e	ffect on the	follov	ving at <u>vo</u>	<u>ur center</u> ?
	Yo	ur <u>center's</u>	Accreditation enhances	Neither		editation inders	No sense of this
	Α.	Overall quality of patient care.	1	2		3	□-2
	В.	Effectiveness in allocating patient care resources.	1	2		3	□-2
С3.	ls <u>j</u>	<u>vour</u> ability to do the following enhan	ced or hindered	by the NAP	BC?		
	Yo	<i>ur</i> ability to	Accreditation enhances	Neither		editation inders	No sense of this
		Attract patients.	1	2		3	□-2
	В.	Persuade your center's administration to devote or maintain resources within your program.	1	2		3	□-2
	C.	Stay current in your field.	1	2		3	□-2
	D.	Persuade colleagues to follow national guidelines and standards.	1	2		3	□-2
	Ε.	Assess where your center ranks relative to other cancer centers.	1	2		3	□-2
	F.	Understand the overall structure and process at your center from a top-down or organizational perspective.	1	2		3	□2
	G.	Understand the patient's total center experience from a patient perspective.	1	2		3	□-2
C4.	Are	e you supported in the following ways	s by your practic	-			
					Yes	No	Unsure
	A.	Paid time off for continuing medical educ	cation events or a	ctivities.	1	2	<u>□</u> -2
	В.	<u>Unlimited funds for travel to meetings.</u>			1	2	
	C.	<u>Limited</u> funds for travel to meetings.			1	2	□-2
	D.	Journal subscriptions, textbooks, webina	rs or other educat	tional	1	2	□-2

materials.

C5.	<u>Please rank</u> , from most (1) to least (9), how compelling you find the following ways of obtaining changing practice information. 1 is the most compelling and 9 the least compelling. Please use each number only once.									
		National meetings								
		Journal articles								
		National guidelines								
		Online video / streaming (from any source)								
		Local tumor board Email blasts from national specialty organizations Email blasts from journals								
		UpToDate (a subscription online res	source for p	hysicians)						
		Social media such as Twitter, Faceb	ook and sin	nilar						
C6.	Но	w big a barrier are the following to in	nplementi	ng clinical t Large barrier	r ial findings Medium barrier	in your pr Small barrier	actice? Not a barrier			
	Δ	Fear of legal repercussions for changing	nractice				a Dairiei			
	71.	patterns.	practice	1	2	3	4			
	В.	Strongly held beliefs by your partners/co	lleagues.	1	2	3	4			
	C.	Lack of access to new trial information.		1	2	3	4			
	D.	Difficulty interpreting published results.		1	2	3	4			
	E.	Added time to discuss new practices with	h patients.	1	2	3	4			
	F.	Patient preferences.		1	2	3	4			
	G.	Trial findings are outdated.		1	2	3	4			
C7.		w often do the following external pre dings to your patients?	essures pre	event you f	rom applyin	g clinical tı	rial			
			Always	Frequently	Sometimes	Rarely	Never			
	Α.	Lack of agreement from your multidisciplinary tumor board.	1	2	3	4	(5)			
	В.	Risk of losing patients from your referring doctors.	1	2	3	4	(5)			
	C.	Fear loss of reimbursement from payors, either government or private.	1	2	3	4	(5)			
	D.	Resistance from clinical staff.	1	2	3	4	(5)			
	E.	Possible reprimand from institutional	1)	2	3	4	(5)			

quality committee.

C8.	Have you found the NAPBC guidelines or requirements to <u>conflict</u> with any of the following?			ollowing?
		Yes	No	Don't Know
,	A. Some professional organization best practices or directives.	1	2	□-2
	B. Other accreditation group guidelines or requirements.	1	2	□-2
	C. Practices you have developed for care of your patients.	1	2	□-2
C9.	Please provide examples of any conflicting guidelines, practic	ces or requ	irements.	
C10.	Currently the NAPBC requires re-accreditation every 3 years an ideal interval be for accreditation cycles? Every 1 year 2 years 3 years (current) 4 years 5 years	. In your op	oinion, wha	t would
C11.	Think of the time and effort required from you in the most reprocess. Would you say that for <u>you</u> ① Benefit outweighed the cost ② Balanced cost and benefit ③ Cost outweighed the benefit □-4 Does not apply – you have not yet been through this process		C accredita	tion
C12.	What one aspect of the accreditation process would you add	l <u>, change o</u>	<u>r omit</u> ?	
C13.	If the accreditation process were revised, what one aspect owould be most important to preserve?	f the proce	ss do you th	nink

Section D. Demographics

Finally, we have six questions to help us understand the data. Please remember that all response is confidential and not attached to your name. Center response rate information is kept separate from survey response and no person or center names will be attached or available for analysis.

D1.	Do	you practice medicine as a
	1	Medical oncologist
	2	Radiation oncologist
	3	Plastic or reconstructive surgeon
	4	General surgeon
	(5)	Surgical oncologist
	6	Breast surgeon
	7	Other, please describe
D2.	\ A/ b	at fellowships or additional training beyond residency have you completed?
DZ.		ase check all that apply.
		Medical oncology
	\square_2	Radiation oncology
	\square_3	Plastic surgery
	\square_4	Surgical oncology
		Breast surgery
	\Box_6	Other, please describe
	0	
D3.	Wh	at year did you begin practicing medicine as an attending?
	Ye	ar:

D4.	Over the past 3 months, about how many different patients with breast disease did you see or				
	trea				
	1	None			
	2	1-9 patients per week			
	3	10-19 patients per week			
	4	20-29 patients per week			
	(5)	30-39 patients per week			
	6	40-49 patients per week			
	7	50-59 patients per week			
	8	60-69 patients per week			
	9	70-79 patients per week			
	10	80-89 patients per week			
	11)	90-99 patients per week			
	12	100+ patients per week			
D5.	Do	you identify as			
	1	Male			
	2	Female			
	3	Other			
D6.		at year were you born? ar:			

<u>THANK YOU</u> very much for your help with this study. We greatly appreciate your time and input.

~~~ Please mail back your completed survey using the postage-paid envelope provided ~~~

Your responses are confidential – please do <u>NOT</u> add your name or return address.